

[REMARKS]

1. The drawings are objected on the features of claim 5.
2. Claims 4-10 are rejected as being unpatentable over Robison in view of Nixon.
3. Claims 5-7 and 10 are currently canceled. The objection for the drawings has disappeared.
4. Claim 4 is currently amended and added in the features of claim 10.

5. Robison (2,469,078)

He does not disclose the features "a weight as measures for tension at cross sections" in claim 4. Robison shows "linings 22 of asbestos material", but he does not show "a ditch for presence of sealing material" in claim 8 and "a sealing material-pressing out gadget over the ditch" in claim 9.

6. Nixon (4,554,748)


He does not disclose the features "a weight as measures for tension at cross sections" in claim 4. Nixon does not teach "a ditch for presence of sealing material" in claim 8 and "a sealing material-pressing out gadget over the ditch" in claim 9.

7. Roubal (3,068,015) and Wold (4,399,999)

Neither Roubal nor Wold teaches "a weight as measures for tension at cross sections" in claim 4, "a ditch for presence of sealing material" in claim 8 and "a sealing material-pressing out gadget over the ditch" in claim 9.

1-103-1 Sugaminami Hotsu-cho
Hashima-shi Gifu-ken, 501-6335
JAPAN

TEL·FAX 058-398-2171


Signature
Keiichi Sakakibara
Typed or printed name